

## **MT CTF 2015-2016 Renewal**

The evaluation committee will review and evaluate the offers according to the following criteria based on a maximum possible value of 235. The response of the offer will be evaluated based on the following Scoring Guide.

Offeror Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Points Awarded: \_\_\_\_\_/235

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

### **SCORING GUIDE**

In awarding points to the evaluation criteria, the evaluator/evaluation committee will consider the following guidelines:

**Superior Response (95-100%):** A superior response is an exceptional reply that completely and comprehensively meets all of the requirements of the RFR. In addition, the response may cover areas not originally addressed within the RFR and/or include additional information and recommendations that would prove both valuable and beneficial to the agency.

**Good Response (75-94%):** A good response clearly meets all the requirements of the RFR and demonstrates in an unambiguous and concise manner a thorough knowledge and understanding of the project, with no deficiencies noted.

**Fair Response (60-74%):** A fair response minimally meets most requirements set forth in the RFR. The offeror demonstrates some ability to comply with guidelines and requirements of the project, but knowledge of the subject matter is limited.

**Failed Response (59% or less):** A failed response does not meet the requirements set forth in the RFR. The offeror has not demonstrated sufficient knowledge of the subject matter.

Maximum Point Value	Failed	Fair	Good	Superior
5	0 - 2	3	4	5
10	0 - 5	6 - 7	8 - 9	10
15	0 - 8	9 - 12	13 - 14	15
20	0 - 11	12- 14	15 - 18	19 – 20

Category	Question	PossiblePts	AwardPts	Comments
<b>PART 1</b>				
Applicant Information		5		
Numbers Served to Date (2014-2015)	Charts	10		
Achievement of Outcomes	1(Attach A)	15		
Collaborations	2	15		
Challenges	3	5		
Financial Statement	4(Attach D)	10		
Other Info	5	5		
Sustainability	6	20		
<b>TOTAL POINTS</b>		<b>85</b>		
<b>PART 2</b>				
Projected Numbers (2015-2016)	1 (Chart)	5		
Overview of Project	2	---		
Need	2a	15		
Population	2b	10		
Outcomes and Indicators	2c	10		
Measurements	2d	10		
Services	2e	10		
Rationale/Assumptions	2f	10		
Resources/Infrastructure	2g	10		
Engagement	3	10		
Sustainability	4	20		
Budget and Narrative	5(Attach C)	10		
Changes/Challenges	6	10		
Logic Model	Attach B	20		
<b>TOTAL POINTS</b>		<b>150</b>		